

**From:** David Vennik  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 12/7/01 9:56am  
**Subject:** Microsoft anti-trust settlement

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----  
Hash: SHA1

I am a resident of australia, however the monopolistic practices of microsoft reach us here too, and I want to comment.

I agree with Red Hat's proposal that microsoft's donations be purely in hardware, partly on the basis that they shouldn't be allowed to spread their monopolistic software (I agree that their browser is probably the best in the industry, but then they've got more money to pay more people), and besides that the value of the donation would be fluffed out by the addition of software, their operating system is overpriced, as is their office software, and I feel that it would benefit school children more to be introduced to unix, as, though most computers are running windows out there, the internet constitutes the largest mass of computing power, and it is primarily running unix, and about 50% of it is linux, and though at this time there is more work in using windows systems, Linux is a young operating system in comparison, and its use in embedded and special purpose systems means that it will eventually become more common. By the time 6-12 year old children are looking for work, unix will be the basis of most computer systems (as it already is within the higher-level government organisations in america, due to it's greater maturity in networking which means it is more secure).

Making Microsoft only provide hardware will mean that a greater number of children will have access to computers, as about 15% of the average computer system cost is microsoft software, that means they will have to provide 15% more hardware than they otherwise would have. Also giving Red Hat the opportunity to provide its software will be a big step towards balancing the lop-sided current situation with respect to just exactly how dominant windows is, especially in the future. Besides this, the average poor family, should it be able to provide the children with a home computer, would be wise to choose a free operating system, as, to use australian dollars, it would mean they could buy an aud\$600 computer, and spend au\$20 on the cd's for the operating system. Otherwise they would be forced to have to cover the cost of a microsoft operating system, most likely win95, which is buggy as hell, but it's also the cheapest at about au\$125. And then there's ms office. Sun's Star office would be the sensible alternative, and where I am at least you can get red-hat linux, star office and even mandrake linux as well for au\$20.

Anyway, I hope that you choose to take up red hat's offer, perhaps though get other companies to contribute operating systems too, like mandrake, caldera, sun etc. as the singular donation from red hat would be favouring them too much.

- - -

David Vennik

(+61) [0]401 592 641

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v1.0.4 (GNU/Linux)

Comment: For info see <http://www.gnupg.org>

iD8DBQE8EAVTxRNEo0Zzlc4RAtiqAJ9vJhDw/pHryBLA+F3tZ5iR6w1RfwCfUDks

QLuc5kyTDx8SJjFHEJwwo3M=

=F0qT

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----